



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/759,496

01/16/2004

Stefan Franzen

297/178/2

7690

25297 7590 11/12/2008
JENKINS, WILSON, TAYLOR & HUNT, P. A.
Suite 1200 UNIVERSITY TOWER
3100 TOWER BLVD.,
DURHAM, NC 27707

EXAMINER

SISSON, BRADLEY L

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

1634

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/12/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because:
 - a. The lettering is not of proper size, uniform density, and well-defined in Figure(s) 1 (text is cut off) and 4 (text too small);
 - b. Shading does not allow for visualization of elements/components/features, see Figure(s) 3, 12A, and 17, and
 - c. The images/photographs are not clean and well defined in Fig(s) 11, 12C, and 15.
2. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsman outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

INFORMATION ON HOW TO EFFECT DRAWING CHANGES

Replacement Drawing Sheets

Drawing changes must be made by presenting replacement sheets which incorporate the desired changes and which comply with 37 CFR 1.84. An explanation of the changes made must be presented either in the drawing amendments section, or remarks, section of the amendment paper. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). A replacement sheet must include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of the amended drawing(s) must not be labeled as "amended." If the changes to the drawing figure(s) are not

Art Unit: 1634

accepted by the examiner, applicant will be notified of any required corrective action in the next Office action. No further drawing submission will be required, unless applicant is notified.

Identifying indicia, if provided, should include the title of the invention, inventor's name, and application number, or docket number (if any) if an application number has not been assigned to the application. If this information is provided, it must be placed on the front of each sheet and within the top margin.

Annotated Drawing Sheets

A marked-up copy of any amended drawing figure, including annotations indicating the changes made, may be submitted or required by the examiner. The annotated drawing sheet(s) must be clearly labeled as "Annotated Sheet" and must be presented in the amendment or remarks section that explains the change(s) to the drawings.

Timing of Corrections

Applicant is required to submit acceptable corrected drawings within the time period set in the Office action. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Failure to take corrective action within the set period will result in ABANDONMENT of the application.

If corrected drawings are required in a Notice of Allowability (PTOL-37), the new drawings MUST be filed within the THREE MONTH shortened statutory period set for reply in the "Notice of Allowability." Extensions of time may NOT be obtained under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 for filing the corrected drawings after the mailing of a Notice of Allowability.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

4. Claims 1-36 and 38-46 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for the method of claims 45 and 46 with the added imitation that the solid support and nanoparticles are not the same, that the thermal properties of the solid support and nanopore are not the same, that unbound nanoparticles are removed prior to

Art Unit: 1634

detection step, and that the probe binds only to the target nucleic acid, does not reasonably provide enablement for detection of any target nucleic acid when the solid support and nanoparticles are one and the same. In such a situation, one would never be able to differentiate between samples that comprise a target nucleic acid and those samples that do not. The specification also does not enable the use of any probe that is “in part” complementary to the target nucleic acid. Such a limitation (see claim 1, line 13) fairly encompasses use of probes that have but a single nucleotide complementary to the target nucleic acid. As such, and with no requirement to use selective hybridization conditions, the probe would be reasonably expected to bind with equal affinity to any and every other nucleic acid that comprises the same nucleotide. Such a level of complementarity (limitation of claim 45) cannot be expected to result in accurate and reproducible detection of any target nucleic acid. This is especially true when the sample comprises a heterogeneous mixture of nucleic acids- an embodiment encompassed by all of the claims. The specification does not enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the invention commensurate in scope with these claims.

5. As presently worded, the method of claims 1-36, 38-43, 45, and 46 fairly encompass an embodiment where the solid support is a nanoparticle, and/or the solid surface has the same thermal properties, as does the nanoparticle. In such a situation, one would not be able to distinguish between a thermal signal resulting from the nanoparticle having been irradiated and a solid support, without any nanoparticle, having been irradiated.

6. As presently worded, the method of claims 1, 3-6, 9-13, 21-36, and 38-46 fairly encompasses a nanoparticle being coupled to any and all nucleic acids in a sample, not just a

Art Unit: 1634

target nucleic acid. In such a situation, target and non-target nucleic acids would all likely bind to a probe that is only "in part" complementary to the target (e.g., the probe has but a single nucleotide complementary to the target nucleic acid) as any given length of nucleic acid is likely to comprise all four of the possible nucleotides. In such a situation, one would not be able to differentiate between target : probe and non-target : probe complexes as they would all have the same signal.

7. As presently worded, the method of claims 1-36, 38-46 do not require the removal of nanoparticles that have not been immobilized to the solid surface via hybridization between probe and target. Consequently, those nanoparticles not immobilized would still be present, present on the surface of the solid support, and would also provide a thermal signature indicative of target nucleic being present, yet it is not. Applicant is urged to consider incorporating a limitation that requires the removal of unhybridized nucleic acids, as well as non-immobilized nanoparticles, as the removal of these components from the assay would more likely result in a meaningful signal.

Conclusion

8. Objections and/or rejections which appeared in the prior Office action and which have not been repeated hereinabove have been withdrawn.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Art Unit: 1634

10. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bradley L. Sisson whose telephone number is (571) 272-0751. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:30 a.m. to 5 p.m., Monday through Thursday.

12. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ram Shukla, Ph.D. can be reached on (571) 272-0735. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

13. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

Application/Control Number: 10/759,496

Page 7

Art Unit: 1634

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Bradley L. Sisson/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1634